

Hello Pastor,

May the glorious peace of the Lord Jesus be with you today.

My name is Avram Yehoshua and I was born in Brooklyn, New York in May 1951. I didn't grow up in the Church and so when I gave my life to Jesus and was Born Again in Oct 1975 I devoured the Scriptures and prayed for hours on end. I "couldn't get enough" of Jesus.

In 1979 the Lord led me to attend Oral Roberts University (ORU) in Tulsa, OK where I spent four years in their graduate theology program (MDiv). I learned much there, but after that it was the Lord's turn to challenge, teach and lead me deeper into His Kingdom and His Way of walking out my faith in Him. That's why I'm contacting you—so you can see the biblical Christian lifestyle. I realize that you don't know me, but if you'll read this Letter you'll find enough understanding of pertinent NT Scripture to seriously challenge your current theological position on Christian lifestyle.

After ORU I pastored a church in Tulsa, OK for 14 years, and then in 1995 the Lord led my wife and me to live in Israel for 16 years, pastoring and witnessing to my Jewish people about Messiah Yeshua (the Hebrew name for Jesus). I've taught more than 500 times on the holiness of Jesus from an Old Testament perspective, etc., in various churches from "A to Z"—from the Assemblies of God to the United Methodists and just about every church 'in between,' having ministered in more than 35 states in the USA. I also teach, train and ordain men to begin branch congregations of The Seed of Abraham in their own cities. Today I find myself in Flagstaff, Arizona proclaiming the Life and Truth of Jesus Christ to both Jew and Gentile, and planting a congregation here.

Hopefully, this understanding of Christian lifestyle will make sense to you even though it will go against your current understanding. I pray that you'll be able to see past the Christian Tree of Tradition that's blocking the divine Forest of God's Truth beyond it, as this Letter will shed more Light on the Way Jesus wants you to walk out your faith in Him and teach it to others.

First though, don't you find it a little strange that all the holy days of Christianity (e.g. Easter and Xmas, etc.) aren't found in the New Testament? Actually, they were pagan holy days more than a thousand years before Jesus Christ was born in Bethlehem. Christianity keeps them because the Roman Catholic Church took them into Her bosom in the second century and changed the name of the *pagan* christ/savior for the real Christ who was born and died in Israel. The pagan, now Christian ceremonies, remain basically the same and have nothing to do with Jesus of Nazareth. For instance, how is the resurrection of Jesus honored or even symbolized by Easter eggs? Jesus is the Lamb of God, not the Bunny of God, but eggs symbolize fertility, and the goddess who raised her dead savior son christ is also known as the goddess of fertility. Also, how does bringing a fir tree into one's home have anything to do with the birth of Jesus? The pagan christ though, was born in the darkness and death of winter, on Dec. 25th, from a dead tree stump, which allegedly came alive and grew into a fir tree, symbolizing the god's ability to bring life in the midst of death.¹

Also, have you ever wondered how Luke could only use the Feasts of Israel in the Book of Acts, and that as Time Markers, if the Law had been done away with at the Cross, as the Church teaches? Luke speaks of them seven times in the 28 chapters of Acts, or an average of one Feast of Israel every four chapters, and not once does he even mention Easter or Xmas, let alone tell us to keep them. As for Sunday, he fails to mention this "new day" of assembly that the Church says was instituted by the Apostles, and Luke wrote Acts in 64 AD or 34 years *after* the Resurrection.² Be that as it is, may our Lord Jesus bless you as

¹ You can read about Sunday being changed by the Roman Catholic Church in [Sunday—The Catholic Sabbath](#), and how Easter and Christmas are pagan holy days that the Roman Catholic Church gave Christians in [The Two Babylons—The Full Hislop](#), pp. 70-87. Hislop also reveals why the 'Mary' of the Catholic Church is not the Mary of the Scriptures, but the Queen of Heaven of paganism (see pp. 62-67, 122-124, 209-210, 266, etc.).

you read...

A Divine Paradigm Shift

It was the summer of 1983 and the Lord Jesus began challenging me about the most forbidden fruit in the Christian Garden—Mosaic Law. I know!, but please bear with me for five minutes and you'll see something of the Lord that you've not seen before. A woman in my congregation asked me why Christians didn't keep Mosaic Law. I told her Mosaic Law was done away with by Jesus who "nailed it to the cross" (Col. 2:14) and so "the Law isn't for us. We're under Grace." A few days later she asked me,

"If Mosaic Law isn't for Christians, why does the Apostle Paul exhort the Corinthians to keep the Feast of Passover—Unleavened Bread?"

What? She showed me 1st Cor. 5:6-8 and there it was—the Apostle to the Gentiles was telling them to 'keep the feast,' which obviously was that of Passover—Unleavened Bread because Paul tells them to become an 'unleavened' loaf of bread "since you truly are *unleavened*" (v. 7 NKJV). This would have made absolutely no sense to them if they weren't keeping this Feast of Israel (see Lev. 23:4f.) because if Mosaic Law, where Passover/Unleavened Bread is commanded, had been done away with they wouldn't have known what Paul was talking about or why he was telling them to become unleavened bread and to keep the Feast (1st Cor. 5:8).³

First Corinthians was written more than 20 years *after* the Resurrection, and that, by the Apostle Paul whom the Church teaches was responsible for teaching that Mosaic Law wasn't valid for Christians. The passage in 1st Cor. 5 startled me, but this time I told her, "You've got a point there." Now, is Sunday "the Lord's Day," in Rev. 1:10, as the Church teaches?

Most Christian scholars and pastors teach that "the Lord's Day" (Rev. 1:10) is Sunday. The problem is the fact that none of them prove their case from Scripture, Acts 20:7 and/or 1st Cor. 16:2 notwithstanding. All their explanations to prove it either defy or circumvent God's Word and/or rely on Roman Catholic tradition. The only reason Luke writes of the first day of the week in Acts 20:7 is because Paul raised Eutychus from the dead; not because Sunday had become the new weekly meeting time. Most scholars today realize that "this Sunday meeting" actually began on Saturday night (when the first day of the week begins in Scripture). Paul preached until midnight, raised Eutychus from the dead and continued preaching until dawn on Sunday morning. This is certainly not your typical Sunday morning church service.

As for 1st Cor. 16:2, it's plain to see there wasn't any church meeting or tithes and offerings being taken up on Sunday, as Paul writes to them, saying, "On the first day of the week *let each one of you lay something aside, storing up* as he may prosper, that there be no collections when I come." These are the two proof texts of the Church for Sunday being the new day of assembly and worship. As you can see, there's nothing here for Sunday replacing the Lord's 7th day Sabbath.⁴

² See [The Feasts of Israel and the Church](#). A "time marker" presents a "given date." For instance, if I asked you to meet me for lunch, a day before Xmas, I wouldn't have to say, Dec. 24th because it's well known that Xmas is Dec. 25th. Xmas, then, becomes a "time marker," and Luke does this seven times in the Book of Acts. If Christians like Theophilus weren't keeping the Feasts of Israel in 64 AD, Luke couldn't do that. "The first day of the week" is seen in Acts 20:7, but it's not Sunday, but a few minutes after the Sabbath ends on Saturday evening that the church met, not Sunday morning, as the first day of the week begins on Saturday night at dark.

³ See Samuele Bacchiocchi's Christian classic, [From Sabbath to Sunday](#) pp. 8-51; 100f.; 131f. Church History reveals that all Christians kept Passover for the first 90 years *after* the Resurrection; until Pope Sixtus changed it in 120 AD.

⁴ For more on this see, [Grace, Holiness and the Pharisaic Church](#).

If John meant Sunday in Rev. 1:10 he would have written something like, “I was in the Spirit on the Lord’s Day, the first day of the week,” or “I was in the Spirit on the Lord’s Day, the day He rose from the dead”). The “first day of the week” is the term he uses for Sunday in his Gospel, which was written about the same time as Revelation. There’s not a single place in the New Testament where John, or anyone else, equates Sunday (i.e. the first day of the week) with the Lord’s Day.

Unger’s *Bible Dictionary*, under the heading, the ‘Lord’s Day,’ writes that Sunday is not a biblical fact or divinely authorized:

‘The term ‘Christian Sabbath’ is *scarcely* biblically defensible...It must carefully be remembered that the Lord’s Day, the term Sunday, *being of pagan origin, is strictly a Christian institution.*’⁵

Unger’s states that the “Christian Sabbath” or Sunday being “the Lord’s Day” is not biblically defensible, and that Sunday is of “pagan origin.” Sunday being “strictly a Christian institution” means that God did not ordain it. More accurately, it’s a Catholic institution that Protestant Christianity unwittingly began to follow in the 1500s when many Christian leaders, like Martin Luther and John Calvin, broke off from the Roman Catholic Church, not realizing that their tradition of Sunday being “the Lord’s Day,” and Sunday assembly over the 7th day Sabbath because of the Resurrection on Sunday, was given to them by Roman Catholicism.⁶ The Lord rose on Sunday, but there isn’t a single Scripture in all the New Testament that says *because of that*, Sunday has replaced the 7th day Sabbath.⁷

Also, if Sunday *had* replaced the Sabbath shouldn’t it have *at least equal status or honor* with the Sabbath day that it was replacing, meaning that somewhere in the New Testament it should say that Sunday is a *blessed* and *holy day*, and a day of resting from one’s toils? It doesn’t say anything like that about Sunday in the New Testament, yet God *blessed* and made the Sabbath day *holy* at Creation (Gen. 2:1-3), and sanctified it as Israel’s day of ceasing from their labors, in the Ten Commandments (Ex. 20:8-11).

The day that would have been known to all the Apostles as the Lord’s Day was the 7th day Sabbath. Isn’t that what Yeshua said? “Therefore, the Son of Man is also *Lord of the Sabbath*” (Mark 2:28). That He was, and still is, Lord of the Sabbath means, among other things, that the Sabbath is the Lord’s Day that John is speaking about in Rev. 1:10. The Sabbath is the Day of the Lord in both the Old and New Testaments.⁸ It hasn’t changed, at least not in New Testament Scripture.

“The Day of the Lord” also has another connotation; that being for *Judgment Day*. This is brought out by the Prophets when they speak of *the Day of the Lord* as the time of judgement upon faithless Judah and Israel,⁹ and also, The Day of Judgement at the end of time.¹⁰

Some scholars (e.g. Lenski) say that Christ made Sunday “His Day” because He rose on it and so it replaced the Sabbath, but there’s no support for that in Scripture. Why was Yeshua resurrected on Sunday? *He did it to parallel His New Creation with the Old Creation*. The Old Creation began on Sunday (Gen. 1:1-5), and the New Creation began with His Resurrection on Sunday. His resurrection on Sunday has nothing to do with invalidating the Sabbath nor making it “the Lord’s Day,” but of recognizing Him as

⁵ Merrill F. Unger, *The New Unger’s Bible Dictionary* (Chicago: Moody Press, 1988), p. 782.

⁶ See [From Sabbath to Sunday](#), pp. 49-51, 60, 69-72, 90-91, 118-119, 130-134, etc.

⁷ See [First Sheaf](#) for why Yeshua rose, and was first seen, on the Sunday of Passover Week.

⁸ Exodus 20:8, 10-11; 31:14-16; Lev. 23:3; Dt. 5:12, 14; Matthew 12:8; Mark 2:28; Luke 6:5; cf. Ex. 16:23, 25; 35:2; Isaiah 58:13, cf. Rev. 1:10.

⁹ Isaiah 2:12; 13:6, 9; Jer. 46:10; Ezk. 30:3; Joel 1:15; 23:1, 11; Amos 5:18, 20; Zephaniah 1:7, 14 (cf. Zeph. 2:3).

¹⁰ Joel 2:31; Obadiah 1:15; 1st Cor. 5:5; 2nd Cor. 1:14; 1st Thess. 5:2; 2nd Peter 3:10; cf. Ps. 1:5; 9:7-8; Job 19:21; 21:29-30; Is. 13:10-11, 13; 26:19, 21; 27:1; 66:16, 22-24; Eccl. 12:14; Jer. 10:10-11.

Creator (Son) of both Creations. By beginning His Creations on Sunday He didn't negate the 7th day Sabbath as the weekly day of holiness and assembly, which was made on the 7th day of the Old Creation.

Jesus was the Beginning of the Old Creation; begotten, not created, as the **Word** of God and the **Light** of God the Father.

“In the Beginning God created the Heavens and the Earth. The Earth was without form, and void; and darkness was on the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God was hovering over the face of the Waters. Then God said, “Let there be Light!,” and there was Light!” (Genesis 1:1-3)

Therefore, on the first day of the week, “Sunday” *in* Passover Week, 30 AD, Yeshua was raised from the dead as *the God-Man glorified*, the First or First Fruits of many to come, and we will follow Him and become like Him, but the point is that as He was the first to appear in the Old Creation, so He is also the first to appear in the New Creation. Paul writes of Yeshua's preeminence in his Letter to the Colossians and his First Letter to the Corinthians, but says nothing about Sunday replacing the 7th day Sabbath because of it:

“Yeshua is the Image of the invisible God, the **Firstborn** over all Creation. For by Him all things were created that are in the Heavens and that are on the Earth—visible and invisible, whether thrones, dominions, principalities or powers. *All things were created through Him and for Him.* And He is *before* all things, and in Him all things consist. And He is the Head of the Body, the Church, who is the Beginning, *the Firstborn from the dead*, that in *all things He may have the preeminence.*” (Colossians 1:15-18)

“But now Messiah is risen from the dead, and has become *the First Fruits* of those who *have fallen asleep*...each one in his own order—Messiah the First Fruits, afterward those who are Messiah's at His coming.” (1st Corinthians 15:20, 23)

The Apostle Paul isn't just generalizing when he speaks of Yeshua as the First Fruits to rise from the dead (cf. Rev. 1:5). He's specifically pointing us to the Day on which Yeshua rose: *First Fruits*, which is the Sunday of Passover Week. God our Father had set up that at Mt. Sinai, in Lev. 23:9-14, and has never instituted a change of Sabbath to Sunday because of the Resurrection. First Fruits comes once a year, and that leads us back to when the early Apostolic Church, and all Christians, celebrated the Resurrection—not on Easter Sunday (which is a pagan ritual that the Roman Catholic Church took unto itself, which honors the Queen of Heaven, Ishtar, the English name for her of which is Easter, who raised her dead son on Ishtar Sunday, who is also called the Christ). Yeshua rose on the Sunday of First Fruits. Satan is the Master Counterfeiter.

The reason why Yeshua rose on Sunday, the first day of the week, is plain to see from Mosaic Law. He said He was coming to fulfill the Law—not do away with it (Mt. 5:17). His Resurrection has nothing to do with making Sunday Yeshua's own day over the Sabbath, and it certainly doesn't make Sunday “the Lord's Day.” How is it that Christian scholars have failed to see this parallel between the Old Creation beginning on the first day of the week and the New Creation beginning on the first day of the week, as *God's reason* why His Son rose on Sunday? It's because Mosaic Law is not valid for the Church.

The Lord's Day that John was “in the Spirit” on was certainly not a Sunday, but most likely the 7th day Sabbath, as the way John writes about the Final End time “Day”—the time of God's Wrath, is not the way he speaks of it in other parts of Revelation and his Gospel.¹¹

¹¹ Rev. 1:10—ἐν τῇ Κυριακῇ ἡμέρᾳ: on the Lord's Day, for both the TR and NA. The way John writes “the Lord's Day” in Greek is unique, and should be translated as either “the lordly day” or “the majestic day,” as Κυριακῇ is not in the genitive case, but in the dative case. It's an adjective, not a noun.

Rev. 6:17 —μεγάλη τῆς ὀργῆς the Great Wrath.

Rev. 16:14—τῆς μεγάλης τοῦ Θεοῦ τοῦ παντοκράτορος the Great Day of God Almighty.

Also, John was there and heard it when Yeshua said that He was Lord of the Sabbath (Mk. 2:28). Usually the simplest explanation is the correct one, and so John is telling us that He was in the Spirit on the 7th day Sabbath.

We have been lied to and deceived. Sunday is not the Lord's Day and Easter (or Resurrection Sunday) is not the day our Lord rose on, but First Fruits (as the dates are not the same). The 7th Day Sabbath and Passover-Unleavened Bread are still valid and should be the days and times that all Messianics and Christians keep. This opens the theological understanding that Mosaic Law is God's New Testament Standard for our Christian lifestyle, just as it was for Jesus and all His Apostles. Christian theology that says the Law is invalid is false. Mosaic Law reveals not only what is God's NT understanding of sin, but also what His NT definition of love is. In other words, without Mosaic Law for our guideline we don't know the full extent of sin or love. (I'll explain that in a moment.) There are Four Pillars of Mosaic Law for every Christian:

1. The Feasts of Israel (Mt. 26:1ff.; Acts 20:6; 27:9; 1st Cor. 5:6-8, etc.),
2. The Mosaic Dietary Laws (Mt. 15:20; Acts 10:14, 28, 34-35; 11:18; 21:20-24; 25:8, etc.),
3. The Seventh Day Sabbath (Mk. 2:27-28; Acts 13:42, 44; 15:21; Heb. 4:9, etc.), and
4. Tithing (Mt. 23:23; Lk. 11:42).

Yeshua did *not* cancel Mosaic Law and it wasn't nailed "to the Cross," but our sins were nailed to the Cross, and so obviously, "being under Grace" does not mean that Christians have a divine license to sin.¹² The theological question that begs to be asked is, "What is God's New Testament definition of sin *after* the Lord's death and Resurrection?" According to the Apostle Paul, we can only know the *full extent* of what sin is by Mosaic Law.

First though, Paul point-blank asks and answers in Romans, his greatest theological letter, that Christians aren't supposed to keep on sinning because they are "under Grace:"

"What shall we say then? Shall we continue in sin that grace may abound? *Certainly not!*
How shall we who died to sin live any longer in it?" (Rom. 6:1-2)

Romans 3:20

How can we know what constitutes sin? Paul writes that the only way Christians can *fully know* how God defines sin is through *Mosaic Law*:

"Therefore by the deeds of the law no flesh will be justified in His sight, *for by the law is the knowledge of sin.*" (Romans 3:20 NKJV)

In this one verse we have the two streams of Pauline thought on Mosaic Law. When added to faith in Christ for salvation or justification the Law nullifies one's salvation (cf. Gal. 5:4), but after one is saved the Law becomes God's holy Standard for Christian lifestyle (cf. Rom. 7:7, 12, 14). The Church only sees the first part of the verse against Mosaic Law, and does not understand how the Law is God's Guideline for walking out our faith in Jesus the Way He did, and also the Way all His Apostles did *after* the Resurrection.

The NKJV's translation of Romans 3:20 isn't bad, but a more accurate translation of the second phrase reads, "...for by the Law is the *full* knowledge of sin." The Greek word for *knowledge* is *gnosis*, but Paul

John 6:39—τῇ ἐσχάτῃ ἡμέρᾳ in the Last Day; and so for John 6:40, 44, 54; 11:24; 12:48.

¹² See [Nailed to the Cross—Col. 2:14](#).

adds a prefix to it, “*epi*,” which makes it *epignosis* or “*full* knowledge.” In other words, without Mosaic Law, Christians don’t have God’s full understanding of sin, and consequently, they sin in ignorance against Jesus and don’t even realize it. Conversely, they also don’t see what God’s *righteous lifestyle* is for them, which is also found in Mosaic Law (i.e. the Four Pillars of Moses, etc.; cf. 2nd Tim. 3:10-17, where Paul speaks of the Old Testament, which includes Mosaic Law, saying, “All Scripture” is good for *doctrine* and for making Christians “*thoroughly equipped* for every good work”)...

“and that from childhood you have known *the Holy Scriptures* (i.e. Mosaic Law, etc.), which are able to make you *wise for salvation* through faith which is in Christ Jesus.”
(2nd Tim. 3:15 NKJV)

No one had the New Testament in Paul’s day. If a church had one or two of his letters it was a lot. All churches read and taught from the Old Testament, for among other things, it spoke of the coming Savior (cf. Luke 24:44), who He was and what He would do, and the New Covenant to come, where Christians would walk out their faith in Him through all the laws and rules of Mosaic Law that applied to them (cf. Acts 15:21).¹³

That the term “law” in Romans 3:20 is *Mosaic Law* that the Apostle is speaking of is beyond question because it was Mosaic Law (and not just the Ten Commandments) that judged Jesus *sinless*.¹⁴ In other words, if Jesus had not kept Passover He would have sinned (cf. Num. 9:13). Passover is not part of the Ten Commandments and would certainly have made Him a sinner if He hadn’t kept it. How can Christians, who desire *to follow Jesus*, disregard Passover and *not* be sinning? Some Christians say because Christ is their Passover Lamb they don’t have to literally keep Passover. Would they also say they don’t have to eat and drink because Christ is their Bread of Life and Living Water? Of course not. The problem with their logic is glaringly apparent. *It’s because* Jesus is our Passover Lamb that Passover’s *meaning of freedom from Egyptian slavery* is exponentially amplified “in Christ,” the (Passover) Lamb of God. By celebrating Passover Christians are not only obeying God the Father’s commandment to Israel (of which they are now a part of), and not sinning, but also entering into *His Way* of honoring Him and His Son.

Others would say they don’t have to keep Passover because they’re not Jewish, but belief in the Jewish Messiah makes a Christian part of Israel (Jn. 10:16; Rom. 11:11f.; Eph. 2:11f.). Gentile Christians (and Jewish Christians), are required by God to keep all the rules of Moses that apply to them, if they’re actually going to follow, imitate and emulate Jesus Christ.

If Jesus had eaten just one slice of bacon He would have been a sinner, breaking the Mosaic Dietary Law (see Lev. 11:7; cf. Mt. 7:6; 8:31-32).¹⁵ Obviously, correctly *discerning or interpreting* God’s Word has its

¹³ See [The Lifting of the Veil: Acts 15:20-21](#) by Avram Yehoshua. Acts 15:20-21 establishes Mosaic Law as God’s lifestyle for every Christian by revealing that the four rules of James (v. 20) weren’t given for table fellowship, as the Church wrongly teaches. The four rules are a conceptual unit on pagan sacrificial-sexual idolatry. The Gentile Christians had to sever themselves from it in order for their faith in Jesus to be seen as genuine. These weren’t the only rules for the Gentiles, but the most important, as worship of other gods, along with Jesus, would negate their salvation. James realized that the new Gentile Christians had been learning Torah (Mosaic Law) in the synagogues (cf. James 2:2, where the Greek word for *synagogue* is used, but most English Bibles have ‘assembly’), and this is why he acknowledges it (Acts 15:21)—the Gentiles were going, and would continue to go, to learn about the God of Israel in the synagogues and house churches, and His way of living out their faith in the Messiah of Israel.

¹⁴ 92% of the time or 182x out of 198x when the word ‘law’ is used in the New Testament it means Mosaic Law; see [Mosaic Law and the Ten](#) (see also, John 8:46; 2nd Cor. 5:21; Hebrews 4:15; 1st Peter 2:22; 1st John 3:5).

¹⁵ See [Law 102](#) for why the English translation of Mark 7:19 that states, “Thus He declared all foods clean” (ESV, HCSB, NASB, NET, NIV, NRSV) is a totally false and inaccurate translation of both Greek texts, which causes Christians to sin against Jesus in their eating of unclean animals and sea creatures. [Law 102](#) also deals with Peter’s Vision in Acts 10, which most Christians misinterpret, and Paul’s word to Timothy that “all creatures of God are good and no food is to be rejected...” (1st Tim. 4:4-5).

theological *and* practical implications. If it was sin for Christ our Head, *how can it not be sin for His Body?* Isn't Jesus our Head (Col. 1:18)? Of course He is. Most teach He did away with the so-called ceremonial Law. Look as you may in all the Old and New Testaments, but you'll never find that artificial category. Mosaic Law is an organic whole, like the roots, stem and petals of a rose—different parts, but all one flower. Take root or stem away and the rose withers. No wonder Christians are dying at the same rate of cancer and heart disease, etc., as the secular population. They are transgressing God's dietary laws and don't even realize it. The first thing that doctors take heart attack and/or high blood patients off of is pig, which God forbids His people Israel from eating (Lev. 11:7). Yes, properly discerning God's Word has its practical implications.

First Corinthians 5:6-8 and Romans 3:20 are just two Scriptures from the New Testament, *after* the Resurrection, and I specifically use the phrase *after* the Resurrection because the Church teaches that the Law was made null and void for Christians *after* the Cross. These two Scriptures contradict and seriously challenge the Church's traditional teaching against Mosaic Law, which teaching came from the Roman Catholic Church, not God nor the New Testament. The Father wants all Christians to walk out their faith in Jesus just the Way Jesus did. Doesn't that make sense? Isn't Jesus Christ our Example to emulate, even and especially in matters of lifestyle? Christians are dying at the same rate of cancer and heart disease, etc., as the secular population because they are transgressing God's dietary laws by eating pig, lobster, catfish and shrimp, etc., and don't even realize it. How subtle is the Serpent?!

The Her of Revelation

The Apostle John, writing more than 60 years *after* the Resurrection states, "He who says he abides in Him ought himself also *to walk just as He walked*" (1st John 2:6). It doesn't say "just as He talked" or "just as He loved," but "just as He *walked*." John is *specifically* speaking about the Lord's lifestyle—not that it doesn't include talking or loving like Him, but it must also include His Mosaic Law lifestyle. To *walk* like Him specifically means that.

John also heard something that he writes of in Revelation that speaks of Roman Catholicism and its stranglehold upon the neck (i.e. lifestyle) of every Christian. He heard God say, 'Come out of *Her*, My people! Lest you share in Her sins and receive of Her plagues!' (Rev. 18:4)

"*My people*" are obviously Christians that are in a "Her" that God wants them to come out of. *All the Reformers* (e.g. Luther, Calvin and Zwingli) saw the *Her* as the Roman Catholic Church. They were right. The problem with the Reformers is that they didn't realize that more than a thousand years earlier a Pope had thrown out Mosaic Law (in 120 AD) and replaced it with Sunday, Easter, ham, and a contempt for "the Law of the Jews" (i.e. Mosaic Law). The Reformer's took Rome's anti-Mosaic Law theology with them and that's why Mosaic Law has been demonized by all Protestant Church leaders for the last 500 years. It has become a strong *tradition* within Protestantism that nullifies God's New Testament lifestyle for Christians.

The Reformers never questioned Rome's Days and Ways, and so that's how Protestantism got them and doesn't even realize where they came from, but today God's Voice is warning Christians to come out of Rome's Days and Ways. Even though Protestants are not Catholics, as they follow Rome's Days and Ways they are honorary Catholics. Of course, God also wants Catholics to leave the Harlot of New Testament Babylon, as the New Testament and the Reformers called Her, and for Catholics to be Born Again the biblical Way, not Rome's heretical Way by being sprinkled, belonging to Her and resting their hope of Heaven in "Mary," the Queen of Heaven, who will rescue them from the mythical pagan place of Purgatory. Purgatory is not in the Bible, but it's found in paganism. Neither is the Jewish maiden who carried the Messiah of Israel in her womb ever called the Queen of Heaven in the New Testament. That's a designa-

tion for Ishtar, the Assyrian goddess, and other goddesses as well,¹⁶ but that's what Rome calls their Mary. Christian defense for Rome's anti-New Testament Days and Ways, as well as Her negation of God's holy Days and Ways (found in Mosaic Law) spin around a few phrases in the New Testament like, we're "not under the Law," and also, a false interpretation of Peter's Vision (Acts 10), etc., but first a little about the Apostle to the Gentiles.

Paul Kept Mosaic Law *after* the Resurrection

The Holy Spirit is causing many Christians to look at Rome's Days and Ways and categorically reject them and begin to walk in God's Days and Ways. We see the Truth in Jesus and all His Apostles, including Paul, walking in Mosaic Law (cf. Acts 18:18; 21:20-24, where Paul takes the Law's "*most Law Vow*," the Nazarite Vow). It doesn't get any "more Law" than the Nazarite Vow with its animal sacrifices (Num. 6:14). If Mosaic Law had been done away with at the Cross, Paul would have been the first to tell James about it in Acts 21, especially since the Church considers Paul to be its "No Law!" champion, but *Paul took the Nazarite Vow*, with its shaving of the head (Num. 6:18; Acts 21:24) to show everyone, *especially us today*, that he still kept Mosaic Law 25 years *after* the Resurrection—*not* for salvation or justification, but as his God ordained lifestyle (Acts 21 took place around 55-58 AD). James said to Paul that there were four men who had taken a Vow and that Paul was to,

"Take them and *be purified with them*, and *pay their expenses* so that they may *shave their heads*, and that all may know that those things of which they were informed concerning you are nothing, but that you yourself also walk orderly *and keep the law*." (Acts 21:24 NKJV; see Acts 18:18, which was Paul's first recorded Nazarite Vow.)

Luke wrote the Book of Acts more than 30 years *after* the Resurrection (64 AD), and Luke was a Gentile, so he had no "Jewish bias" toward or for Mosaic Law, but Acts is filled with many places that confirm Mosaic Law *as the Christian lifestyle*. For example, in the Book of Acts Luke speaks of the Feasts of Israel seven times, which averages out to one Feast of Israel every four chapters. Luke doesn't just mention them 'in passing,' but uses them as *time markers* to tell Gentile Theophilus *when* an event happened. This would have been meaningless to Theophilus if he didn't know when the Feasts were, which strongly implies that he, as well as all Christians in his day, kept the Feasts of Israel.¹⁷

Three NT Verses That Affirm Mosaic Law

Christians are part of Israel (John 10:16; Rom. 11:11f.; Gal. 6:16; Eph. 2:11f.), and as such they need to learn about their Family Ways and values that determine their walk of faith. There are other rules of Moses that Christians should also keep, but they're not Pillars as the four above are. Jesus wants us to walk out our faith in Him through all the rules of Moses that apply to us, just as He and all His Apostles did. One way of knowing this is seen in this three Scripture verse paradigm:

1. The Apostle Paul wrote that Jesus is our Head and *we're His Body* (Col. 1:18).
2. The author of the Letter to the Hebrews said that Jesus is the *same* yesterday, *today* and forever (Heb. 13:8).
3. The Apostle John says we're to walk just like Jesus *walked* (1st John 2:6).

¹⁶ For example, Diana is also called The Queen of Heaven; Acts 19:24-35; see Jer. 44:17-19, 25; and [The Two Babylons—The Full Hislop](#), pp. 56, 61-63, etc.

¹⁷ See [The Feasts of Israel and the Church](#) and [A Snapshot of Church History and Mosaic Law](#).

If Jesus is our Head and we're His Body, and He is the *same today* as He was 2,000 years ago in Israel, then He's still a Mosaic Law keeping Savior, and if we're *to walk just like He walked* when He was in Israel, we should be emulating His Mosaic Law lifestyle. He always kept the Sabbath day and Passover holy and He was never legalistic about it.

Jesus would have been a sinner if He hadn't kept those divine Days and Ways, which the Father and He, along with the Holy Spirit, gave to Israel for Israel's lifestyle *after* they were *saved* from Egypt. Israel was saved in Egypt by the blood of the lamb and then led out to Mt. Sinai to learn God's Days and Ways. We are saved by the Blood of the Lamb, and God's Mosaic Law Days and Ways are His *lifestyle* for us.

Digressing for a moment, the Catholic phrase, "the Last Supper," is not found in the New Testament. It's an intentionally dishonest and anti-Semitic Catholic phrase that circumvents the fact that it was the Jewish Passover that was Christ's last meal (cf. Mt. 26:17-19; Lk. 22:7-15). Rome never calls it the Passover or His last Passover because of their historical anti-Semitic theology and practice against the Jews. Not that Protestants are innocent of persecuting Jews "in the Name of Jesus," for in the Name of Jesus Christ more Jews have been persecuted and murdered than in all other names combined. Christian anti-Semitism—what an oxymoron! It began with Rome in 120 AD.

Jesus is our Example in all religious and spiritual things. He redeemed us by His divine sinless blood. He didn't do away with God's holy, spiritual and divine Standard of Mosaic Law (Rom. 7:12, 14). He gave us the Holy Spirit *so we could follow Him*, from the inside-out. If Jesus wouldn't walk in Rome's Days and Ways, why should we? After all, *illicit SEX* is not of God, but of our Enemy—Satan.

Illicit SEX

Illicit SEX is an acronym for Sunday, Easter and Xmas, which also includes the eating of biblically unclean animals such as shrimp, pig and lobster, etc., and the Church's teaching against Mosaic Law, which came into Christianity through Pope Sixtus in 120 AD. The Pope was the one who changed Sabbath to Sunday, Passover to Easter and threw out Mosaic Law (cf. Dan. 7:25) and brought in Christian anti-Semitism. It wasn't God nor is it written in the New Testament that Mosaic Law is nullified for Christians, despite a few NT texts that the Church uses to justify its heretical position. (It would be Pope Julius I, around 350 AD, who brought in Xmas.) Sixtus could replace Passover with Easter, etc., because very few Christians had any portion of the NT, let alone all of it where they could check and see if Sixtus was right about God's position on the Jews and Mosaic Law (e.g. see Romans 11:25f., where Paul speaks of God saving all Israel, and 1st Cor. 5:6-8 where Paul exhorts Christians to keep Passover).

Some might ask, "Does it really matter which days and ways a Christian keeps?" The biblical answer is, "Only if God's Word means anything to you." If God wants us to keep Mosaic Law as our lifestyle, it matters to God, and so it should matter to us. Is there another Way? Is it the Pope's Days and Ways? The Pope's Day and Ways are antithetical to Messiah Yeshua, who is the same today as He was 2,000 years ago in Israel (Heb. 13:8). Yeshua is Lord of the Sabbath (Mk. 2:28) and He kept the Sabbath day holy and Passover as well. Shouldn't we? (See Gen. 2:1-3; Lev. 23:3; Mark 2:27-28; Luke 4:16.)

Nowhere in the New Testament does anyone write that Sunday replaced the Sabbath, something we'd expect to see if God had actually done it. After all, God gave the Sabbath and Passover to Israel more than **1400 years before** Jesus was born in Bethlehem. If our Father was going to change Sabbath to Sunday, and Passover to Easter there would be a number of Scripture references or witnesses to the changes **and why** they were changed, but nothing like that exists in the New Testament because the Pope changed it. Just ask any knowledgeable Catholic priest. Thomas Aquinas (1225-1274), a pillar of the Roman Catholic Church and its greatest theologian wrote,

'In the New Law the observance of the Lord's day (Sunday) took the place of the obser-

vance of the Sabbath (Saturday), not by virtue of the precept (of God), but by the institution of the Roman...'¹⁸

Rome is actually proud of being able to disregard New Testament Scripture for Her *traditions* that nullify God's Word.

Most Christians think that they keep Sunday because "Jesus rose from the dead on Sunday." Well, Jesus did rise from the dead on Sunday, but *that* Sunday was the Feast of First Fruits *within* Passover Week, which comes once a year (cf. Lev. 23:5f., with 1st Cor. 15:20, 23). It's interesting that God *didn't* make that annual Sunday an annual Sabbath, like the Sunday of Pentecost (which is called the Feast of Weeks in the Old Testament; Ex. 34:22; Dt. 16:16, etc.), and is 50 days after the Sunday of First Fruits (Leviticus 23:10-16). Paul speaks of Christ being the First Fruits to rise from the dead, but says nothing about Sunday replacing the Sabbath because of it. Paul wrote First Corinthians from Ephesus about 53 AD or 23 years *after* the Resurrection:

"But now Christ is risen from the dead, and has become the *firstfruits* of those who have fallen asleep. But each one in his own order...Christ the *firstfruits*, afterward those who are Christ's at His coming." (1st Corinthians 15:20, 23 NKJV)

Jesus Christ is the Passover Lamb of God, who dies on the first day of Passover and is raised on the Sunday of First Fruits (aka First Sheaf). This is all within the biblical framework of the Feasts of Israel, which obviously for Paul in Corinthians, did not stop at the Cross.

Also, there is no such phrase as the Christian Sabbath (i.e. Sunday). It's not found in Scripture. The term Sabbath means to cease or to end, and that's what God's 7th day Sabbath does—it ends the seven day week. One cannot have a Sabbath on the first day of the week (i.e. Sunday), because Sunday *begins* the week—it doesn't *end* the week.

The 7th day Sabbath was blessed and made holy at Creation by God (Gen. 2:1-3), yet nowhere in the New Testament does anyone say that Sunday is blessed and holy. If Sunday eclipsed the Sabbath of the Lord Jesus (Mark 2:28), shouldn't it be seen to have at least the same value as the Sabbath, if not greater?

Sola Scriptura?

Sola Scriptura is a Latin phrase the Reformers used which means that *only* the Bible is authoritative for what we are to believe and therefore, *what we are to practice* (our Christian lifestyle). Christians, whose guiding star is rightfully the Bible, *are mocked by Catholic priests and theologians* because they know that Rome gave Christians *Sunday, Easter and Xmas*, and an anti-Mosaic Law theology, and so Christians aren't following Scripture, *but Catholic traditions that nullify the New Testament*.¹⁹

Christians who have never set foot inside a Catholic church, but who walk in *illicit SEX*, are honorary Catholics, following, emulating and honoring the Pope instead of Jesus Christ, and they don't even realize it. How subtle is the Serpent?! *Illicit SEX* is the biggest Deception since Eve took a bite of that forbidden fruit.

This heretical lifestyle applies to Catholics, too, who don't realize that the Catholic Church is *not* a Christian organization, and being part of it will *not* get them into Heaven. The Catholic Church is a religious cult far worse than Mormons or Jehovah's Witnesses because most Christians and people in the world see Her as a Christian church. All the Reformers knew about Her, and taught their people that Rome was not a Christian church, but the Harlot of Revelation (cf. Rev. 17–18). Catholics need to be biblically Born

¹⁸ Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theological* SS Q[122] A[4] R.O., paragraph four.

¹⁹ See [Sunday—The Catholic Sabbath](#), [Sabbath Denigration](#) and [Grace, Holiness and the Pharisaic Church](#).

Again (John 3:3, 5), not the “Catholic Way,” and renounce Catholicism, and leave the Catholic Church because *illicit SEX* is just the tip of Catholicism’s wicked, murderous and heretical iceberg.²⁰ Christians too, need to leave Rome’s Days and Ways or they remain honorary Catholics or as Catholicism calls them, “Rome’s Daughters.”

Fulfill, Jesus and Mosaic Law

Christians can proclaim Catholicism’s “*illicit SEX* and anti-Mosaic Law Jesus” to any people group in the world except to the Jews because they know that God Himself gave them Mosaic Law from Mt. Sinai, and as God says to them many times, it’s to be kept throughout their generations (Ex. 12:14, 17; Lev. 23:14, 21, 31, etc.), not just until the Messiah comes (Luke 16:16 notwithstanding; see v. 17). Also, Jesus said that He did *not* come to do away with Mosaic Law. Does it get any plainer than that? The Lord said,

“Do *not* think that I came to destroy the Law or the Prophets. I did not come to destroy but to *fulfill*.” (Matthew 5:17 NKJV)

The Church teaches that the Law was “fulfilled” at the Cross and so Christians don’t have to be concerned about it, but listen to what Jesus said to His Apostles about “*fulfill*” *after* His Resurrection:

“Then He said to them, ‘These are the words which I spoke to you while I was still with you, that all things must be *fulfilled* which were written in the Law of Moses and the Prophets and the Psalms *concerning Me*.’ And He opened their *understanding* that they might *comprehend the Scriptures*. Then He said to them, ‘*Thus it is written* and thus it was necessary for the Christ to suffer and to rise from the dead the third day.’”(Luke 24:44-46 NKJV; cf. v. 37; Acts 13:29, 32-33)

According to the Lord Jesus “fulfill” has nothing to do with negating Mosaic Law for Christians, but of the Law speaking of a coming Redeemer who would suffer and die, etc. One such example is found in the Garden:

“And I will put enmity between you and the woman, and between your seed and her Seed. He shall bruise your head, and you shall bruise His heel.” (Genesis 3:15)

Genesis 3:15 is one prophecy of many that Jesus fulfilled. He did not do away with Mosaic Law. There’s not a single verse in the Old Testament that even hints at the Law being voided. It is still God’s holy Standard for one’s lifestyle, as even Paul implies (Rom. 7:12, 14). Jesus kept Mosaic Law all His life (cf. Ps. 40:7-8), and so did His Apostles because it’s a written reflection of who Jesus is and how He would walk when He became a Jewish man. Where does He state in the New Testament that the Law will be done away with or of no consequence to His followers? Something this monumental would have been on the lips of Jesus a number of times—to prepare His Apostles to teach the Jewish Christians, and then the Gentile Christians, but not only is Jesus silent about its demise, He literally tells us it will stay as long as these Heavens and this Earth are here: does it get any plainer than that?

“For assuredly, I say to you, till heaven and earth pass away, one jot or one tittle will by no means pass from the law till all is fulfilled.” (Matthew 5:18 NKJV; cf. Lk. 16:17; cf. Acts 1:3)

The Church teaches that “all was fulfilled” at the Cross, and so again, Christians don’t have to keep Mosaic Law, but the next verse torpedoed Christian theology on Torah by speaking of it being in Christ’s

²⁰ See Alexander Hislop’s, [The Two Babylons—The Full Hislop](#) and also Dave Hunt’s, *A Woman Rides the Beast*, both of which explain from different perspectives that Catholicism is not a Christian church, but a very wicked entity, and is actually anti-Jesus and anti-Bible.

Kingdom:

“Whoever therefore *breaks* one of the *least* of these commandments, and teaches men so, shall be called *least* in the *kingdom* of heaven; but whoever *does and teaches them*, he shall be called *great* in the kingdom of heaven.” (Matthew 5:19 NKJV)

The Kingdom of Heaven did not officially begin until Acts Two, 50 days *after* the Resurrection. The Church’s theology against Mosaic Law is not scriptural—it’s Roman Catholic.

After His Resurrection, in Acts 1:1-3, it speaks of Jesus teaching His Apostles about His Kingdom:

“The former account I made, Theophilus, of all that Jesus began both to do and teach, until the day in which He was taken up, after He through the Holy Spirit had given commandments to the Apostles whom He had chosen, to whom He also presented Himself alive after His suffering by many infallible proofs, being seen by them during forty days *and speaking of the things pertaining to the Kingdom of God.*” (Acts 1:1-3)

Astonishing as it is, nowhere in Acts does anyone, including Paul (cf. Acts 25:8), speak of not having to keep Mosaic Law. Here in Acts 1:3 Christ is instructing His Apostles about the Kingdom and yet no Apostle anywhere in Acts says it’s not valid anymore (for Jew or Gentile). Did the Apostles forget? The Book of Acts is the only divinely inspired history of the early Apostolic Church (30-64 AD). Today, and since Rome changed it, the Church says the Law was nullified at the Cross, but we don’t see one scintilla of evidence for that in the historical-theological Book of Acts.

As a matter of biblical fact, the only passage in the entire Old Testament where the term *New Covenant* is seen proclaims God’s desire to place Mosaic Law upon the heart and mind (of every Christian). Therefore, an anti-Mosaic Law theology and lifestyle go directly against God’s express desire in this area for New Covenant Christians. God spoke to the Prophet Jeremiah and said:

“Behold! the days are coming!” says Yahveh, “when I will make a *New Covenant* with the House of Israel and with the House of Judah—not according to the Covenant that I made with their Fathers in the day that I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt, My Covenant which they broke, though I was a Husband to them,” says Yahveh. “*This is the Covenant that I will make with the House of Israel after those days,*” says Yahveh: “***I will put My Law (Hebrew: Torah/Mosaic Law) in their minds and write it on their hearts.*** I will be their God and they shall be My people. No more shall every man teach his neighbor, and every man his brother, saying, “Know Yahveh!,” for they all shall know Me, from the least of them to the greatest of them,” says Yahveh. “For I will forgive their iniquity and their sin I will remember no more.”” (Jeremiah 31:31-34)

The Hebrew word for ‘law’ in Jer. 31:33 is *Torah*, which is Mosaic Law. The Author of Hebrews confirms this understanding, of God wanting to place Mosaic Law on the hearts and minds of every Christian by *twice* quoting Jer. 31:33...

“For this is the Covenant that I will make with the House of Israel after those days,” says the Lord: “*I will put My laws in their mind and write them on their hearts,* and I will be their God, and they shall be My people.” (Hebrews 8:10)

“This is the Covenant that I will make with them after those days,” says the LORD. “I will put My laws into their hearts, and in their minds I will write them.” (Hebrews 10:16)

What was seen on Stone Tablets and the Scrolls were going to become our new nature ‘in Christ;’ just as it is His nature. That’s where Mosaic Law came from: the heart and mind of Jesus and His Father (and the Holy Spirit). Mosaic Law is not some old car that can be taken to the junk yard, but something new and vitalized by the Holy Spirit within us. Jesus amplifies Mosaic Law. He doesn’t do away with it:

““You have heard that it was said, ‘You shall love your neighbor and hate your enemy.’”

But I say to you, *love your enemies*, bless those who curse you, do good to those who hate you, and pray for those who spitefully use you and persecute you, that you may be sons of your Father in heaven; for He makes His sun rise on the evil and on the good, and sends rain on the just and on the unjust.” (Matthew 5:43-45 NKJV)

God couldn't give that to Israel because they were carnal human beings, but with being Born from Above and filled with His Spirit we can walk as Jesus walked—in the impossible. For it is carnally impossible to love and forgive your enemy. That's what vengeance is all about.

When Jesus spoke Mt. 5:17, standing in front of His Jewish people that day, saying that He came to *fulfill* the Law, *His standing there was (partial) fulfillment of the Law* in that Mosaic Law spoke of a coming Messiah-Savior (e.g. Dt. 18:18-19), and there He was! The Savior was *fulfilling a part of* what the Law spoke of in just His being there. Of course, His healing of many people (Is. 35:1f.), both Jews and Gentiles, and His going to the Cross (Ps. 22) and His Resurrection (Is. 53:10-12) are also part of His *fulfilling* what the Law, the Prophets and the Psalms spoke of concerning the Messiah of Israel, but His use of *fulfill does not* mean that Mosaic Law is invalid for Christians. That's a lie from the Pit, funneled to you through Rome. Repent, reject it and follow your Savior and His Days and His Ways for living your life.

No Longer Under the Law

The biblical understanding of what it means to “not be under the Law” is that the Law's just and righteous authority to condemn and punish sinners doesn't effect Christians. The Law has no authority to punish or condemn a dead person. Death satisfies the Law's righteous condemnation and punishment of a sinner (Rom. 8:1-4). Jesus took the Christian's condemnation and punishment upon Himself and so, when a person is Born Again, the Christian dies to self and becomes a new creature in Christ (2nd Cor. 5:17), with water baptism picturing *death* to self and being alive unto Jesus as that new creation. Now, “in Christ,” Christians can walk out their faith in Him the same Way He did—through all the rules of Moses that apply to them, *without condemnation or punishment*—they are no longer *under* the Law's authority to condemn and punish. Now, for a Christian, God's Mosaic Law acts as His holy Standard for his lifestyle in Christ—it's not discarded.

The Apostle Paul said to his Corinthian Christians, “*Imitate me, just as I also imitate Christ*” (1st Corinthians 11:1 NKJV; cf. 4:16-17)

How could Paul imitate Christ if Paul kept and taught Sunday, Easter, Xmas and ate ham? Paul had to be observing and teaching Mosaic Law to his Gentile Christians (cf. Acts 21:24; 1st Cor. 7:19; 5:6-8). The Law not only reveals the *full* extent of what sin is, it also reveals how God desires us to live. When a Christian sins,

“There is therefore now no condemnation to those who are in Christ Jesus, who do not walk *according to the flesh*, but according to the Spirit.” (Romans 8:1 NKJV)

The Spirit and the Word are *one*. The Christian is able to call upon the Blood of Jesus to forgive him—whether it's a sin of lying or a sin of not keeping the Sabbath day holy. No one can keep the Law perfectly, but it's one thing to strive “in the Spirit” to keep God's holy Days and holy Ways—it's quite another to teach that those holy Days and holy Ways don't exist for Christians. Paul wrote in Romans:

“Therefore *the law is holy*, and the commandment *holy and just and good*...*For we know that the law is spiritual*, but I am carnal, sold under sin.” (Romans 7:12, 14 NKJV)

“For *I delight in the Law of God* according to the inward man. I thank God—through Jesus Christ our Lord...So then, with the mind *I myself serve the law of God*, but with the flesh the law of sin.” (Romans 7:22, 25 NKJV)

Strange that Paul would say such wonderful things about Mosaic Law if it had been done away with at the Cross and he taught that Christians weren't to keep it. The Spirit is not contrary to the Word. The Holy Spirit has not led you into keeping Sunday, Easter, Xmas and the eating of unclean animals and fish; Rome has.

Come Out of Her, My People!

“Come out of Her, *My* people!” (Rev. 18:4) is not just a pithy phrase or an abstract saying to be taken lightly. The Holy Spirit is warning Christians about this satanic deception so that they can reject it, make this Divine Paradigm Shift and walk out their faith in Jesus His Way, so that they won't suffer the consequences of a sinful, anti-Mosaic Law lifestyle.

You might think, “How could God have allowed Christianity, for 1,900 years, to walk in *illicit SEX*? It doesn't make any sense.” You're right! It doesn't make (human) sense, but God spoke of this very thing to the Prophet Daniel—*how Christians would be taken captive by the Pope and that He would change the Law*. Daniel wrote,

“He (the Pope) shall speak blasphemous words against the Most High God. He (the Pope) shall *persecute* the saints (Christians) of the Most High God, and shall intend to *change times and Law*. Then the saints *shall be given into his hand* for a time and times and half a time.” (Daniel 7:25)

All the Reformers taught that the “He” of Daniel 7:25 was the Roman Catholic Pope/s. *Times* speak of the Sabbath and the Feasts of Israel, and *law* speaks of Mosaic Law. The saints (Christians) will be “given into his hand” is what has taken place for the last 1,900 years with Christians walking in Rome's *illicit SEX, and not Mosaic Law*. Today the Holy Spirit is crying out to every Christian to come out of Her—the Harlot's Days, Ways and theology that negate God's holy Mosaic Days and Ways.

Who is this Jesus You Teach?

I teach *the Lord's biblical lifestyle, which for most Christians involves a Divine Paradigm Shift in their thinking and lifestyle*. It's important to walk out our faith in Jesus *His Way*, not the Pope's Way *nor the Way of anyone else who teaches against God's New Testament's lifestyle* because we shouldn't be sinning against the Lord Jesus even in ignorance (see Acts 1:1-3; 13:42, 44; Rom. 3:20, 31; 6:1-2; 7:12, 14, 22, 25; 1st Cor. 7:19; Rev. 14:12).

If the Holy Spirit has been leading you to question any part of *illicit SEX*, then this word to you is very timely. What I've shared with you is just the Tip of the Theological Iceberg. I've been walking in the Lord's lifestyle since 1983 and I have written many articles and two books that deal with this subject, which can be found on my website, under Articles/The Hebraic Perspective.²¹ I've also placed some articles and the books at the end of the Letter.

If not, if *illicit SEX* and a Mosaic Law lifestyle are totally new to you and you think my understanding is “completely off the wall,” I ask you to pray about it. The Holy Spirit is crying out to the Church today to sort through the morass of *illicit SEX* and to realize that *illicit SEX* is truly of Satan and designed to pervert both your walk with Christ and what you teach others, by word and example. Jesus is not alright with Sunday, Easter, Xmas, the eating of bacon and the non-observance of His 7th Day Sabbath, the Feasts of

²¹ See [The Lifting of the Veil—Acts 15:20-21](#) and my articles under Articles/10. The Hebraic Perspective, etc., at [The Seed of Abraham](#).

Israel and the Mosaic Dietary laws, etc. Has Jesus changed His Days and Ways? Try proving it to yourself. If you have any questions or think that I'm wrong, please choose one or more of my passages of Scripture in this Letter and tell me where my reasoning and understanding is not accurate or biblical and support it with your understanding of the passage or concept. "And Jesus said to them,

"The Sabbath was made for man, and not man for the Sabbath. Therefore *the Son of Man is also Lord of the Sabbath.*" (Mark 2:27-28 NKJV)

This has never changed. In other words, there's no place in the New Testament that says that Jesus isn't Lord of the Sabbath, but of Sunday. The ultimate questions that are raised in this Letter for you are: Is Jesus today,

1. A pig eater or would He still reject bacon if you offered it to Him today?
2. Is He still a Sabbath keeper or does He sanction Sunday over the Sabbath?
3. Does He allow you to teach it's alright to to keep Easter (or the more fashionable, Resurrection Sunday), instead of Passover and the 7 day Feast of Unleavened Bread?
4. Who are you following in these Days and Ways? The Pope or Christ? They are diametrically opposed to one another.

The Church, taking phrases and words in the New Testament that they filter through their anti-Mosaic Law theology, doesn't realize that the phrase or word does *not* support their anti-Mosaic Law interpretation. One such phrase is, we're "no longer under the Law," which I've just explained, and another is how the Church misunderstands, "fulfill."

Challenged?

Hopefully, as you can see, the Church does not teach its people how to emulate its Founder in what days Jesus kept holy and what foods He would not eat, etc. There is no reason for this except that Christians have been deceived into thinking that Mosaic Law is not to be used as God's holy Standard for their Christian lifestyle.

I sincerely hope this teaching has challenged you. I'd like to dialogue with you about it. Feel free to email me at AvramYeh@Gmail.com with any questions, concerns or comments you might have. This deception didn't take God by surprise. As Paul said to the Ephesian Elders:

"For I have not shunned *to declare to you the whole counsel of God.* Therefore, take heed to yourselves and to all the Flock, among which the Holy Spirit has made you overseers, to shepherd the Church of God, which He purchased with His own blood. *For I know this,* that after my departure savage wolves will come in among you, not sparing the Flock. Also, *from among yourselves men will rise up, speaking perverse things,* to draw away the disciples after themselves." (Acts 20:27-30)

The Pope tore away God's holy lifestyle and implemented the pagan lifestyle of *illicit SEX*. Paul may have not known the details, but Daniel did.

If you have been brought up in the observance of Sunday, Easter (or Resurrection Day) and Xmas, and the eating of bacon, sausage, ham, clams and catfish, etc., and have an aversion to Mosaic Law, you may feel as if there was something "not right" in my presentation. If the understanding of the Scriptures above be true though, the Lord Jesus is coming to you through me. Surely it's of vital importance that God's Truth be given to those around you. This will strengthen them against the seductions of the Roman Catholic Church and confirm them in the biblical faith once delivered to the saints. If an ancient pagan could say, 'Socrates I love, and Plato I love, but I love truth more,' surely we cannot say less toward our Lord and

His Ways. Pray then, and ask Jesus these questions: “Is this understanding true? Is it of You, to walk out my faith in You through all the rules and laws of Moses that apply to me? If so, please confirm this, Lord.”

If you’ve *not* been challenged with all I’ve presented it’s because pride and ignorance blind you to correctly discerning God’s Word in this area of New Testament lifestyle. Pride, in that you think Mosaic Law isn’t for Christians, but on the contrary, that *illicit SEX* is just fine with Jesus, and ignorance, in that you really don’t know what Jesus desires of you in this area of Christian lifestyle. You have been brain-washed and think this heretical understanding is of Jesus, but the Lord Jesus *says to you today*,

“You have a fine way of rejecting the commandment of God in order to keep *your tradition*” (Mark 7:9), for “you have made the commandment of God of no effect by your tradition!” (Mt. 15:6)

The Apostle Paul says *to you today*, “...will you not cease perverting the Straight Ways of the Lord?!” (Acts 13:10 NKJV) Paul is *not on your side*.

You really need to pray about this, unless you like being a Pharisaic Christian and an honorary Catholic. *You are sinning against Jesus* and causing others to sin by your Pharisaic Christian lifestyle of *illicit SEX*, and *your teaching against Mosaic Law*. You have been put on notice by the Holy Spirit. You cannot say that you have not been warned.

“Therefore, as the Holy Spirit says: “Today, if you will hear His voice, do not harden your hearts as in the rebellion, in the day of trial in the Wilderness, where your Fathers tested Me, tried Me, and saw My works for forty years. Therefore, I was angry with that generation, and said, “They always go astray in their heart, and *they have not known My Ways.*” (Hebrews 3:7-10)

In the holy Name of Yeshua, the Messiah of Israel, God’s Son,

Avram Yehoshua

Senior Elder and Minister

TheSeedofAbraham.net

P.S. I am not Messianic nor Hebrew Roots nor One Law, all of which fall far short of what I represent: The Hebraic Perspective.

P.P.S. Below are some articles and books for you to read in order to further understand that *illicit SEX* is anti-God, and that Jesus wants every Christian to walk out his or her faith in Him through all the rules of Moses that apply to them:

1. [A Snapshot of Church History and Mosaic Law](#) is two pages and reveals that all Christians kept Sabbath and Passover for the first 90 years after the Resurrection—until Rome changed it.
2. [Grace, Holiness and the Pharisaic Church](#) is an in-depth article about *illicit SEX* and God’s Ways.
3. [Law 102](#) contains 3 of the 4 passages in the New Testament that Christians use to justify their eating of unclean animals. This article presents the biblical interpretation of the passages, which create a tremendous breach in the Church’s theological position that *any* animal, fish or bird can be eaten, which in turn reveals that Mosaic Law is for all Christians because if the Mosaic Dietary laws are still valid then Mosaic Law must be also because the Mosaic Dietary laws are part of Mosaic Law. This concept also holds true for the Sabbath and the Feasts of Israel, etc.
4. [Romans 14 and the Dietary Laws](#) contains the other passage in the New Testament that Christians use to justify their eating of unclean animals (Rom. 14:5-6). It also deals with Rom. 14:14, which in Eng-

lish states that “nothing unclean of itself; but to him who considers anything to be unclean, to him *it is unclean*” (NKJV). The Greek word for “unclean” is *not* found in v. 14. It’s ‘common,’ and there’s quite a difference, as the article brings out.

5. [Mosaic Law and the Ten](#) is a statistical article which lists and analyzes all the places in Scripture where the term *law/s* or *commandment/s* speak of Mosaic Law and/or the Ten Commandments.
6. [Nailed to the Cross—Col. 2:14](#) reveals what truly was nailed to the Cross.
7. [Sabbath Denigration](#) reveals the view of the so-called Church Fathers on Mosaic Law, etc.
8. [Sunday—The Catholic Sabbath](#) examines what Catholic theologians say about Sunday, and the Protestants keeping it, along with a number of Protestant Christians who actually came to realize that Sunday was not of the Lord.
9. [Take the Quiz! Five Quick Questions about the New Testament](#). You’re love it!
10. [The Feasts of Israel and the Church](#) is a short two page article. If the Law was nailed to the Cross, and the Feasts are part of Mosaic Law, why is Luke, a Gentile, using them in Acts to tell Theophilus, another Gentile Christian, *when* certain events transpired for Luke and/or Paul? Luke not only speaks of them, he uses them as *time markers*, which drives home the point that Mosaic Law was first century Christianity’s lifestyle.
11. [The Feasts of Israel as Time Markers After the Resurrection](#) is an article that delves into Luke’s use of the Feasts as time markers in Acts, as well as his use of the Sabbaths, and also the Sabbath in the Letter to the Hebrews, and the two passages in the New Testament that are used as proof texts by Christians to justify Sunday.
12. [The Lifting of the Veil: Acts 15:20-21](#) by Avram Yehoshua. Acts 15:20-21 is the theological center of the New Testament that establishes Mosaic Law as God’s lifestyle for every Christians by revealing that the four rules of James (v. 20) weren’t given for table fellowship, as the Church wrongly teaches. The rules are a conceptual unit on pagan sacrificial-sexual idolatry. The Gentile Christians had to sever themselves from this gross idolatry in order for their faith in Jesus to be seen as genuine. These weren’t the only rules for the Gentiles, but the most important, as worship of other gods along with Jesus would negate their salvation, which is why the Council was called—What must the Gentile do in order to be saved? (Acts 15:1-6f.)
13. [The Two Babylons—The Full Hislop](#) is Alexander Hislop’s Christian classic, and Dave Hunt’s, *A Woman Rides the Beast*, complement each other as both reveal profound theological and historical reasons why the Roman Catholic Church is not a Christian church, but a highly deceptive, heretical, anti-biblical and anti-Jesus organization that Revelation calls the Harlot (Rev. 17:1, 15-16; 19:2).
14. [The Two Triangles of the NT](#) is a two page article that proves that *illicit SEX* is not of God, and that Jesus wants all Christians to walk in His Days and His Ways.²²

²² Revised on Sunday, February 27, 2022.